

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/813,442	03/31/2004	Satoshi Hada	2635-208	3781
	7590 10/29/200 NDERHYE, PC	EXAMINER		
901 NORTH G	LEBE ROAD, 11TH F	· OLSEN, KAJ K		
ARLINGTON, VA 22203			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1795	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/29/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/813,442	HADA ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Kaj K. Olsen	1795					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period versilized to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICA 36(a). In no event, however, may a rep vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH , cause the application to become ABAI	ATION.  ly be timely filed  HS from the mailing date of this communication.  NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status		·					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	)☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
	·						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) 1,2,6-8,13 and 16-18 is/are rejected.	,						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>3-5,9-12,14 and 15</u> is/are objected to							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine		•					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:							
1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)							
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date.  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application							
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>3-31-04</u> .							

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1, 2, 6-8, 13, and 16-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hada et al (USP 6,347,544) in view of Sagisaka et al (USP 5,709,198).
- 3. Hada discloses a gas concentration detecting apparatus comprising a control unit configured to control a voltage Vc applied to a terminal 41 connected to the electrode of sensor 30, a measurement unit 50 configured to measure a current flow cause by the applied voltage corresponding to a concentration of a component of the gas, a change causing unit (20, 21) configured to cause a change in the applied voltage to the sensor element, a change amount measuring unit configured to measure an amount of change of each the current and voltage values ( $\Delta I$ ,  $\Delta V$ ) caused in response to the change in voltage value, and a calculation unit configured to calculate an amount of a resistance component R on the basis of the ratio of the changes in current value  $\Delta I$  and the voltage value  $\Delta V$ . See fig. 1, 3, and 5, col. 6, l. 39 through col. 7, l. 24 and col. 8, ll. 24-43. Hada does not explicitly disclose a detection unit configured to detect an abnormality relating to the resistance component. Sagisaka teaches in an alternate resistance monitoring apparatus that the determined sensor resistance Zdc can be utilized to determine if there is either a high or low element temperature abnormality in the sensor. See fig.

Art Unit: 1795

8 and col. 10, ll. 53-63. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was being made to utilize the teaching of Sagisaka for the apparatus of Hada so that the microcomputer can be alerted that the sensor is out of a preferred temperature range.

4. With respect to the use of a feedback amplifying circuit connected to each terminals, amplifiers 45a and 47a of Hada (see fig. 3) appear to be providing the same function as the feedback amplifying circuits 21 and 24 of the instant invention.

## Allowable Subject Matter

- 5. Claims 3-5, 9-12, 14, and 15 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 6. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: With respect to claim 3, the prior art does not disclose nor render obvious all the cumulative limitations of claims 1-3 with particular attention to where the determination unit is configured to determine that there occurs the abnormality when the amount of change in either the current or voltage value is equal to or substantially regarded as zero. With respect to claim 4, the prior art does not disclose nor render obvious all the cumulative limitations of claims 1, 2, and 4 with particular attention to where the determination unit is configured to determine that there occurs the abnormality when either the current or voltage value measured is fixed at a boundary value or thereabouts of a range in which the feedback amplifying circuit operates. With respect to claim 9, the prior art does not disclose nor render obvious all the cumulative limitations of claims 1, 7 and 9 with particular attention to the presence of a determination unit configured to determine

whether of not the actually operated amount of either the current or voltage is abnormal. With respect to claim 14, the prior art does not disclose nor render obvious all the cumulative limitations of claims 1, 13, and 14 with particular attention to the determination unit configured to determine that there occurs the abnormality when the actually operated amount is zero or a value substantially regarded as zero. With respect to claim 15, the prior art does not disclose nor render obvious all the cumulative limitations of claims 1, 13, and 15 with particular attention to the determination unit configured to determine that there occurs the abnormality when either the current or voltage value measured is fixed at a boundary value or thereabouts of a range. Claims 5 and 10-12 are objected to because they depend from claims containing allowable subject matter.

Page 4

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's 7. disclosure. Okamoto (USP 6,136,169).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kaj Olsen whose telephone number is (571) 272-1344. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:00 A.M. to 4:30 P.M..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nam Nguyen, can be reached on 571-272-1342. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications Application/Control Number: 10/813,442 Page 5

Art Unit: 1795

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

AU 1795 October 24, 2007

> KAJ K. OLSEN PRIMARY EXAMINER